

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Wommission, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/557,176 | 04/21/2000 | Kentaro Kawakami | 000549 | 6524 |
| 23850 | 7590 04/15/2003 | | | |
| ARMSTRONG, WESTERMAN & HATTORI, LLP | | | EXAMINER | |
| SUITE 1000 | SUITE 1000 | | NGUYEN, TH | IUKHANH T |
| WASHINGTO | N, DC 20006 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1722 | 18 |
| | • | | DATE MAILED: 04/15/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| | 09/557,176 | KAWAKAMI ET AL. | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examin r | Art Unit | | |
| • | Thu Khanh T. Nguyen | 1722 | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | | |
| iod for Reply | | • | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). tus | 36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ² e, cause the application to become AB | eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 I</u> | March 2003 and 02 April 20 | <u> 2003</u> . | | |
| ta) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th | is action is non-final. | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under position of Claims | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application | 1 | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-3 and 11-16</u> is/are | | ion. | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 8</u> is/are rejected. | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) <u>5-7,9 and 10</u> is/are objected to. | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | |
| olication Papers | · | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | |
| 0) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce | pted or b)□ objected to by th | ne Examiner. | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | - · · | ` · · | | |
| The proposed drawing correction filed on | | sapproved by the Examiner. | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in re | | | | |
| 2) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. | | | |
| ority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | |
| 3) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 3 119(a)-(d) or (t). | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | - have been sense. | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority document | | onlination No. | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority document | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priorapplication from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | _ | | |
| 4) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti | c priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 5)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest | * * | | | |
| chment(s) | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | _ | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | |

Applicant may not request that any objection 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action for a 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don a) 🔲 The translation of the foreign language 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Office Action Summary Part of Paper No. 18

Period for Reply

Status

Disposition of Claims

Application Papers

2a)□

Application/Control Number: 09/557,176

Art Unit: 1722

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Harter (4,395,220).

Harter teaches a molding apparatus for forming cementicious blocks, comprising an open top negative mold (30), a rotary trowel with cylindrical working surface (14), a ring-shaped lid member (32, forming a ring shape lid around the trowel) with an inside diameter smaller than an open top diameter of the negative mold; wherein the trowel is mechanically fixed to move against an inner surface of the negative mold and is at least longer than a height of an inner wall surface of the product (Figs. 2, 8), and a rotary trowel drive (36).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harter ('220) as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of the British patent (1,534,807).

Harter discloses a mold apparatus as described above, but fails to disclose a shave stand for fitting the mold.

The British patent discloses an apparatus for forming ceramic product, comprising a rotary trowel (8), a negative mold (D) and a mold holder, or a shave stand (13) for supporting the mold during the rotary pressing of the trowel.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to modify Harter by providing a shave stand as taught by the British patent, because the shave stand would support the mold against the rotary pressing force of the trowel to prevent the mold from breaking.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 5-7 and 9-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record disclose a mold comprising a negative mold; a rotary, cylindrical shaping surface trowel; and a ring shape lid member. However, the prior art fails to disclose that the apparatus comprising that the negative mold is a split type, a rotatable type, or a turn table rotatable about a central axis for supporting the mold or a shave stand.

Page 4

Art Unit: 1722

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Khanh T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-7167. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday, 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L Walker can be reached on 703-308-0457. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

TN April 10, 2003

ROBERT DAVIS

//-